1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SCOTT P. COOPER (Bar No. 96905) PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3200 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3206 (310) 557-2900 Telephone (310) 557-2193 Facsimile JON A. BAUMGARTEN PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 1233 20 Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036-2396 (202) 416-6800 Telephone (202) 416-6899 Facsimile FRANK P. SCIBILIA PROSKAUER ROSE LLP	COPY DUI DU 15 THUS COPY DUI DU 15 THUS COPY DU DU 15 THUS COPY DU DU 15 THUS
10 11 12	1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036-8299 (212) 969-3000 Telephone (212) 969-2900 Facsimile	
13 14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT RJK
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	CENTRAL DISTRI METRO-GOLDWYN-MAYER STUDIOS INC., a Delaware corporation; ORION PICTURES CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS PRODUCTIONS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and FOX BROADCASTING COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, Plaintiffs, v. REPLAYTV, INC., a Delaware corporation; and SONICblue INC., a Delaware corporation, Defendants.	CT OF CALIFORNIA 01-09801 VBKx Case No. COMPLAINT FOR: 1. Copyright Infringement 2. Contributory Copyright Infringement 3. Vicarious Copyright Infringement 4. Violation of Section 553 of the Communications Act 5. Violation of Section 605 of the Communications Act 6. Unfair Business Practices
26 27 28	0068/48424-006 LAWORD/4125	r

Plaintiffs Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc., Orion Pictures Corporation, Twentieth 1 Century Fox Film Corporation, Universal City Studios Productions, Inc., and Fox Broadcasting 2 3 Company (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs"), by their counsel, allege the 4 following against Defendants ReplayTV, Inc. ("Replay") and SONICblue Inc. ("SONICblue") 5 (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants"). 6 Jurisdiction and Venue 7 This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 & 1338, 17 1. 8 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq, and 47 U.S.C. §§ 553 and 605. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367, this Court 9 10 has supplemental jurisdiction over Count VI because it is so related to the federal claims as to 11 form part of the same case or controversy. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants 12 ReplayTV, Inc. and SONICblue Inc. due to their operation of their principal place of business in 13 this State and their extensive commercial activities in this State, including this District. Venue is 14 proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) in that a substantial part of the 15 events or omissions giving rise to this lawsuit, as well as substantial injury to the Plaintiffs, have 16 17 occurred or will occur in this District as a result of Defendants' past and impending acts of 18 copyright infringement, violation of the Communications Act, and unfair competition, as alleged 19 in detail below. Venue is also proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a) in 20 that the Defendants may be found in this district in light of their extensive commercial activities 21 in this district. 22 Nature of the Action 23 Plaintiffs bring this action to obtain declaratory and injunctive relief against an 24 2. 25 unlawful plan by Defendants to begin distribution of a new package of digital recording hardware 26 and services. The various individual components and features of this package and the package as 27 a whole are referred to herein as "ReplayTV 4000". ReplayTV 4000 consists of an "RTV 4040," 28

		fi -	
1	"RTV 4080," "RTV 4160," or "RTV 4320" hard disc digital video recording device (these		
2	models are individually and collectively referred to herein as the "ReplayTV 4000 device") that is		
3	integrated with continuous online Internet connections to Defendants' servers and facilities for		
4	the express purpose of illegally copying and redistributing Plaintiffs' copyrighted motion pictures		
5	and television programs. ReplayTV 4000 is designed and advertised to make unauthorized digital	Ţ	
6 7	copies of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works, to create and organize libraries and collections of up to		
8	320 hours of such unauthorized copies in the hard drive of the device, and to distribute such		
9	copies and collections through a built-in broadband Internet connection to others on the World		
10	Wide Web. Defendants maintain an online Internet connection between ReplayTV 4000 device		
11	and their customers, on the one hand, and Defendants' servers and facilities, on the other hand,		
12	that, inter alia, actively seeks, locates, and copies Plaintiffs' copyrighted works to the hard drives	7	
13	of ReplayTV 4000 devices. ReplayTV 4000 devices also incorporate, among other things, a		
14 15	feature that eliminates from the digital playback of recorded television programming the very		
15	commercial advertising that allows that programming to be provided to consumers free of direct		
17	charge in the case of over-the-air broadcast programming and at minimum tier levels in the case		
18	of subscription services ("basic cable"). Through this conduct, Defendants have engaged in and		
19	threaten to engage in direct, contributory and vicarious copyright infringement of Plaintiffs'		
20	copyrighted works, violations of the Communications Act, and unfair business practices in		
21	violation of California Business and Professions Code section 17200.		
22	3. ReplayTV 4000 is a new platform, devised and newly introduced by Defendants		
23 24	for their profit. Unless enjoined, ReplayTV 4000 will irreparably injure Plaintiffs and the public.		
24 25	It has been unilaterally devised by Defendants to and will usurp and negate Plaintiffs' rights and		
26	ability to structure the presentation and distribution of their copyrighted works so as to maximize		
27	the viewing opportunities of the public through various "windows," levels of subscription service		
28			

1	and media; will damage Plaintiffs' ability to develop attractive new and varying ways (including			
2	but not limited to "video on demand," "subscription on demand," and "near video on demand") to			
3	serve market demands for their works; and in all the foregoing respects will thereby seriously			
4	impair the interests of the public as well as those of the Plaintiffs.			
5	The Plaintiffs			
6 7	4. Plaintiff Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc. ("MGM") is a Delaware corporation			
8	with a principal place of business at 2500 Broadway Street, Santa Monica, California 90404.			
9	5. Plaintiff Orion Pictures Corporation ("Orion") is a Delaware corporation with a			
10	principal place of business at 2500 Broadway Street, Santa Monica, California 90404.			
11	6. Plaintiff Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation ("Fox") is a Delaware			
12	corporation with a principal place of business at 10201 West Pico Boulevard, Los Angeles,			
13	California 90035.			
14 15	7. Plaintiff Universal City Studios Productions, Inc. ("Universal") is a Delaware			
16	corporation with a principal place of business at 100 Universal City Plaza, Universal City,			
17	California 91608.			
18	8. Plaintiff Fox Broadcasting Company ("FBC") is a Delaware corporation with a			
19	principal place of business at 10201 West Pico Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90035.			
20	9. Plaintiffs are some of the largest, most successful producers and distributors of			
21	motion pictures and television programming in the United States. Each of the Plaintiffs is			
22 23	engaged in the business of producing copyrighted motion pictures and television programming, of			
24	distributing, publicly performing and displaying those motion pictures and television programs,			
25	and/or licensing those activities to others. Plaintiffs, either directly or through their affiliates or			
26	licensees, distribute copyrighted audiovisual works theatrically, through television broadcasts, on			
27	cable and direct-to-home satellite services, including basic, premium and "pay-per-view"			
28				

television services, and on portable media (such as digital versatile discs ("DVDs"), videocassette tapes and laser discs). The names and reputations of the Plaintiffs as producers and distributors of motion pictures and television programs of high artistic and technical quality, and those motion pictures and television programs, are widely and favorably known throughout this Judicial District, the United States, and the world.

7 8

9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

10. Plaintiffs are the owners of copyright or exclusive reproduction and/or distribution rights under United States copyright with respect to certain copyrighted motion pictures and television programs, including but not limited to those listed on Exhibit A, each of which is the subject of a valid Certificate of Copyright Registration from the Register of Copyrights (or for which an application for such a certificate is pending).

12 Plaintiffs have invested and continue to invest substantial sums of money, time, 11. 13 effort, and creative talent to find and develop screenplays and teleplays, to acquire and develop 14 motion pictures and television programs, to nurture the creative teams behind them, to create, 15 produce, advertise, promote, distribute, publicly perform, display, and license motion pictures and 16 television programs, to advertise, distribute, and sell authorized copies of those works in various 17 18 formats (such as DVDs, videocassette tapes and laser discs), and to explore and develop varying 19 new forms of distribution. Plaintiffs are compensated for their creative and distributive efforts 20 and monetary investments from a variety of sources, including home video sales and rentals, 21 advertising fees, and license fees for televised exhibitions. Many companies and individuals 22 depend on the revenues earned from these sources for their livelihood. Absent the ability to 23 generate revenues to cover such costs and make profits, Plaintiffs could not continue to create, 24 produce, and distribute the works and consider and develop new viewing opportunities for the 25 26 public. If the pool of resources available for finding and promoting screenplays and teleplays, 27 paying creative teams, and supporting distribution shrinks, the quality and availability of motion

28

pictures and television programs will suffer. The ultimate result is to diminish the public's broad range of access to a wide variety of high-quality motion pictures and television programs.

A significant portion of Plaintiffs' revenues comes from license fees and 3 12. 4 advertising revenue generated by telecasts of motion pictures and television programs on 5 television, including on "network" television (e.g., NBC, ABC, CBS, UPN, the WB Network, or 6 the Fox Network operated by Plaintiff FBC), "cable" television (e.g., FX, TNT, Comedy Central, 7 the USA Network or the Lifetime Network), "independent" stations that acquire syndicated 8 programming content, premium movie "subscription" cable and satellite services (e.g., Home Box 9 Office or Showtime), and "pay-per-view" services. Many networks, stations and services, 10 11 including the Fox Network, depend upon advertising revenues to cover the costs of creating and 12 licensing content (including from the Plaintiffs). Subscription services (such as Home Box 13 Office) and pay-per-view services fund the purchase and creation of content by charging fees to 14 individual subscribers or viewers. 15

13. No Plaintiff has granted any license, permission, or authorization to Defendants, or
 to past, present, or future customers of Defendants, either to reproduce any of their works
 (including those listed in Exhibit A), or to distribute, over the Internet or otherwise, through
 ReplayTV 4000, copies of any of their works (including those listed in Exhibit A).

20 In or about March 2000, Plaintiff FBC entered into an agreement with a 14. 21 predecessor of Defendant ReplayTV with respect to the use of certain FBC content in the limited 22 manner and circumstances set forth in that agreement. As more fully described below, ReplayTV 23 4000, inter alia, creates and organizes libraries and collections of up to 320 hours of unauthorized 24 digital copies of FBC programming, causes and facilitates the distribution of those copies and 25 26 collections to others through a built-in broadband Internet connection, and automatically 27 eliminates commercial advertising. The agreement does not license or authorize any of these uses 28

0068/48424-006 LAWORD/4125

1

1	of FBC's programming. In fact, the agreement expressly requires FBC's consent to develop			
2	service offerings in addition to those specified in the agreement, and Defendants have neither			
3	sought nor obtained such consent. In any event, the agreement does not bar any of the claims			
4	asserted herein by FBC.			
5	The Defendants			
6	15. Defendant Replay is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in			
8	Mountain View, California. Replay is a wholly owned subsidiary of Defendant SONICblue.			
9	16. Defendant SONICblue is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of			
10	business in Santa Clara, California. SONICblue is the parent company of Replay.			
11	17. Replay and SONICblue developed, market and sell ReplayTV 4000, including			
12	maintaining continuous connections to and integration with ReplayTV 4000 devices, all for the			
13 14	express purpose of illegally copying and redistributing Plaintiffs' copyrighted motion pictures and			
14	television programs.			
16	Facts Common To All Claims For Relief			
17				
17	ReplayTV 4000			
17	ReplayTV 400018.Defendants' ReplayTV 4000 features a ReplayTV 4000 hard disc digital video			
18 19				
18 19 20	18. Defendants' ReplayTV 4000 features a ReplayTV 4000 hard disc digital video			
18 19 20 21	18. Defendants' ReplayTV 4000 features a ReplayTV 4000 hard disc digital video recorder ("DVR") that makes and distributes to others unauthorized digital copies of copyrighted			
18 19 20	18. Defendants' ReplayTV 4000 features a ReplayTV 4000 hard disc digital video recorder ("DVR") that makes and distributes to others unauthorized digital copies of copyrighted motion pictures and television programs. Defendants' direction of, involvement with and			
18 19 20 21 22	18. Defendants' ReplayTV 4000 features a ReplayTV 4000 hard disc digital video recorder ("DVR") that makes and distributes to others unauthorized digital copies of copyrighted motion pictures and television programs. Defendants' direction of, involvement with and participation in such activities does not end with the sale of a ReplayTV 4000 "box" to their			
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	18. Defendants' ReplayTV 4000 features a ReplayTV 4000 hard disc digital video recorder ("DVR") that makes and distributes to others unauthorized digital copies of copyrighted motion pictures and television programs. Defendants' direction of, involvement with and participation in such activities does not end with the sale of a ReplayTV 4000 "box" to their customers. Defendants proclaim ReplayTV 4000 as the "first networked DVR": ReplayTV 4000			
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	18. Defendants' ReplayTV 4000 features a ReplayTV 4000 hard disc digital video recorder ("DVR") that makes and distributes to others unauthorized digital copies of copyrighted motion pictures and television programs. Defendants' direction of, involvement with and participation in such activities does not end with the sale of a ReplayTV 4000 "box" to their customers. Defendants proclaim ReplayTV 4000 as the "first networked DVR": ReplayTV 4000 includes an online Internet connection that enables Defendants to remain connected with their			
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	18. Defendants' ReplayTV 4000 features a ReplayTV 4000 hard disc digital video recorder ("DVR") that makes and distributes to others unauthorized digital copies of copyrighted motion pictures and television programs. Defendants' direction of, involvement with and participation in such activities does not end with the sale of a ReplayTV 4000 "box" to their customers. Defendants proclaim ReplayTV 4000 as the "first networked DVR": ReplayTV 4000 includes an online Internet connection that enables Defendants to remain connected with their customers and to cause, participate in and facilitate infringement. Via that broadband connection,			

to copy and/or distribute, and match that information with a frequently updated electronic program guide ("EPG") which Defendants call a "Replay Guide" to accomplish and cause such copying. Through that connection, Defendants also direct the operation of the device from distant 4 locations, and enable their customers to distribute such copies, including over the Internet. Upon 5 information and belief, Defendants' EPG and ReplayTV 4000 include all programs exhibited on 6 television, including broadcast, basic and premium satellite and cable, and pay-per-view. Certain 7 features of the Replay TV 4000 are described below. 8

9

1

2

3

The Distribution Feature

ReplayTV 4000's "Send Show" feature causes, enables and facilitates the unlawful 10 19. 11 distribution of digitally recorded programs over the Internet to others. On information and belief, 12 ReplayTV 4000 accomplishes, causes, enables and facilitates such unlawful distribution and 13 copying by incorporating a file transfer program that, inter alia, presents the customer with a 14 menu, receives the customer's instruction, searches for a program that has been copied and stored 15 by that device, searches for recipient addresses, and formats the program for distribution. 16

Defendants assure their customers that using ReplayTV 4000 to infringe 17 20. 18 copyrights will be effortless: "[W]ith its broadband connectivity, sending and receiving programs 19 [with the ReplayTV 4000] is a breeze." The potential damage to Plaintiffs from this feature is 20 large and growing: millions of Americans presently have high-speed Internet connections and 21 millions more will have such connections in the near future. 22

ReplayTV 4000 not only carries out this unlawful conduct, but Defendants 21. 23 highlight it as a principal selling point. Defendants' press release about ReplayTV 4000, for 24 25 example, urges customers to use the "Send Show" feature to "trade movies [and] favorite TV 26 programs." In a September 2001 interview with CNET, SONICblue's Vice President of 27 Marketing said: "If there's a great movie that you've recorded and you want to send it over to a 28

0068/48424-006 LAWORD/4125

friend, you'd be able to do that over your broadband connection." (Emphasis added in each case.)

22. Defendants' web site features an online demonstration that illustrates how to use the "Send Show" feature to reproduce and distribute recorded programs to other people. The demonstration shows a ReplayTV 4000 customer employing "Send Show" to distribute to third parties digital copies of a copyrighted program. Indeed, Defendants have specifically designed and are actively marketing ReplayTV 4000 as a tool to make it easy to infringe copyrighted material.

With the "Send Show" feature, Defendants cause, accomplish, facilitate and 10 23. 11 induce the unauthorized reproduction and distribution of Plaintiffs' valuable works and encourage 12 unauthorized access to subscription programming, in violation of both federal and state law. For 13 example, a ReplayTV 4000 customer who has a paid subscription to Home Box Office or another 14 subscription service can send a perfect digital copy of each and every episode of "The Sopranos" 15 (and any other program aired on HBO or any other subscription or pay-per-view service) to any 16 other individual who has a ReplayTV 4000 device, and, on information and belief, to others. This 17 type of activity, which can be accomplished whether or not the individual receiving the program 18 19 has paid for a subscription to that service, obviously impacts sales of subscription and "pay-per-20 view" services. It also impacts the sale of prerecorded DVD, videocassette tape and other copies 21 of programs that have aired on these services, and diminishes the value of programs aired on 22 these services for subsequent cycles of distribution through basic cable, syndication or other 23 24 licensing.

25 26

27

28

1

2

The Seeking, Recording, Sorting and Storage Features

24. Defendants cause, accomplish, facilitate and induce the unauthorized reproduction of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works in violation of law. ReplayTV 4000's "Personal Channel," "Find

1	Shows," and "Record All Episodes" features allow Replay customers to enter keywords to			
2	request that all movies and television shows of a particular genre or in which a particular actor or			
3	character (such as James Bond) appears, or all episodes of a particular television program, be			
4	recorded. ReplayTV 4000 will actively search the "Replay Guide" EPG seeking programs that			
5	"match" customers' keyword searches and "Personal Channel" criteria, and will cause and			
6 7	accomplish the copying of programs that Replay decides "match." In this manner, a Replay TV			
8	4000 customer who has created a "James Bond Channel" need not know, or even suspect whether			
9	or not, or when, a James Bond program is to be telecast, or whether it even exists. Defendants			
10	will cause and accomplish the copying of any program Replay considers a "James Bond"			
11	program. Replay's own materials describe the active role played by Defendants in connection			
12	with these features: "Quickly find the show you're looking for based on keyword searches			
13	Let ReplayTV create a channel that continually finds and records shows that match these			
14 15	interests." Replay "sets up personal channels that actively seek out programs that match your			
16	interests." (Emphasis added in each case.)			
17	25. The ReplayTV 4000 device provides expanded storage, up to (currently) a massive			
18	320 hour hard drive, which allows the unlawful copying and storage of a vast library of material.			
19	In order to allow customers to easily locate (and distribute, see infra) the programs they archive			
20	on this hard drive, Defendants offer "Show Organizer," a feature which sorts and organizes			
21 22	customers' recordings. As Defendants state: "You'll have more storage space than ever before,			
23	so we've improved the Replay Guide to help you sort and access all those recorded shows easily			
24	with Show Organizer. Now you can store Barney and other related shows into the Kids			
25	category." (Emphasis added.) ReplayTV 4000's expanded storage and sorting features organize			
26	disparate recordings into coherent collections, and cause, facilitate, induce and encourage the			
27	storage or "librarying" of digital copies of copyrighted material, which harms the sale of DVDs,			
28				

videocassette tapes and other copies, usurps Plaintiffs' right to determine the degree of "air time" a particular program receives in various cycles of that program's distribution (thereby harming the value of that programming for subsequent cycles of distribution through syndication or other licensing), and materially contributes to unlicensed channels which unfairly compete with plaintiffs' licensing of their motion picture and television product.

Defendants' violations are further aggravated by features of ReplayTV 4000 that
 direct the recording of programs, including through the "Personal Channel," "Find Show," and
 "Record All Episodes" features, from distant locations through a Replay TV web site, present
 such digital recordings and collections to the viewer in new, technologically enhanced displays
 and audio rendition, and cause their distribution to others, including over the Internet.

12 27. If a ReplayTV customer can simply (indeed, even from distant locations) type
13 "The X-Files" or "James Bond" and have every episode of "The X-Files" and every James Bond
14 film recorded in perfect digital form, and organized, compiled and stored on the hard drive of his
16 or her ReplayTV 4000 device, it will cause substantial harm to the market for prerecorded DVD,
17 videocassette and other copies of those episodes and films, and for syndication and subsequent
18 telecasts.

19 The "AutoSkip" Feature

20
28. The ReplayTV 4000 will also detect and skip commercials on playback of
21
22. recorded telecasts. Upon activation of the feature by a consumer, the ReplayTV 4000 device, on
23 its own, finds the commercials, passes over them, and determines where the commercials end and
24 programming resumes. Here is how Defendants describe the ReplayTV 4000 AutoSkip feature in
25 a "Frequently Asked Question" on their web site:

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

27 28

	Q. Can ReplayTV play shows without the commercials?		
1	A. Yes! We call the new feature AutoSkip TM . Here's how it works. You		
2	A. Yes! We call the new feature AutoSkip . Here's now it works. Fou go to the Replay Guide and select a recorded show that you want to watch.		
3	go to the Replay Guide and select a recorded show that you want to play When you select the show, a pop-up menu will ask you if you want to play		
4	it with or without commercials. If you choose to skip commercials or		
5	"AutoSkip TM ", then you get to sit back, relax and enjoy your favorite show		
6	commercial-free! (Emphasis added)		
7 8	29. When a television program is copied by ReplayTV 4000 and played back with the		
9	AutoSkip feature, Defendants ensure that all commercials are automatically omitted when		
10	viewing the program, even when viewed in virtually the same time slot as the originally telecast		
11	program. The elimination of commercial advertising using the AutoSkip program will cause		
12	particular harm to the market for the licensing of Plaintiffs' content for television, in that many		
13	stations, networks and services depend upon revenues from a wide variety of commercial		
14 15	advertising arrangements, including payments from advertisers to include commercials during		
16	designated breaks within and between programs, and so-called "barter" arrangements, to cover		
17	the costs of licensing and producing that programming.		
18	Claims for Relief		
19	Count I	ľ	
20	Copyright Infringement		
21	30. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1		
22	through 29 as if fully set forth herein.		
23	31. By causing, accomplishing, participating in, and enabling the actual or imminent		
24			
25	unauthorized copying and electronic distribution of unauthorized copies of Plaintiffs' works		
26			
27	engaging in and imminently will engage in a vast number of direct copyright infringements,		
28	3		
	0068/48424-006 LAWORD/4125 12		

H				
1	including infringements of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works, in violation of sections 106 and 501			
2	the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501.			
3	32. The foregoing acts of direct infringement by Defendants are unauthorized by			
4	Plaintiffs and not otherwise permissible under the Copyright Act.			
5	33. Plaintiffs are entitled to their attorneys' fees and full costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C.			
6 7	§ 505.			
8	34. Defendants' conduct is causing and, unless enjoined and restrained by this Court,			
9	will continue to cause Plaintiffs great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or			
10	measured in money. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502,			
11	Plaintiffs are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctions prohibiting further infringements			
12	of Plaintiffs' copyrights.			
13	Count II			
14	Contributory Copyright Infringement			
15	Contraducty Copyright Internet			
16	35. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1			
17	through 29 as if fully set forth herein.			
18	36. By participating in, facilitating, assisting, enabling, materially contributing to, and			
19	encouraging the actual or imminent unauthorized copying and electronic distribution of			
20	unauthorized copies of copyrighted works by ReplayTV 4000 customers in the manner described			
21	above, with full knowledge of their illegal consequences, Defendants are contributing to and inducing a vast number of copyright infringements, including infringements of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works (and including the works listed on Exhibit A), in violation of sections 106 and			
22				
23 24				
25	501 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501.			
26	37. The unauthorized copying and distribution of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works by			
27	ReplayTV 4000 customers that Defendants participate in, facilitate, assist, induce, enable,			
28				
	0068/48424-006 LAWORD/4125			

1	materially contribute to, and encourage through the schemes described above is without			
2	Plaintiffs' consent and not otherwise permissible under the Copyright Act.			
3	38. Defendants know or have reason to know of the actual or imminent infringement			
4	of Plaintiffs' copyrights. Indeed, Defendants actively promote the infringements as a reason to			
5	purchase their products, provide tools that are indispensable to these infringements, and			
6	continuously facilitate the infringements.			
7	39. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to			
9	suffer irreparable injury.			
10	Count III			
11	Vicarious Copyright Infringement			
12	40. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1			
13	through 29 as if fully set forth herein.			
14	41. Defendants have the right and ability to supervise and/or control the infringing			
15	conduct of ReplayTV 4000 customers, including, without limitation, by (a) maintaining a			
16 17	continuous broadband Internet connection between the ReplayTV 4000 devices and their			
17 18	customers on the one hand, and Defendants' servers and facilities on the other hand, that, inter			
19	alia, seeks, locates, and copies Plaintiffs' copyrighted works to the hard drives of the ReplayTV			
20	4000 devices, continuously collects information about what Replay customers want or may want			
21	to copy and/or distribute, and matches that information with a frequently updated electronic			
22	program guide ("EPG") which Defendants call a "Replay Guide;" and (b) specifically designing			
23	their equipment (and planning their ongoing connection to their customers) to encourage and			
24	cause the unauthorized distribution of infringing copies of copyrighted works when, on			
25 26	information and belief, they could have designed ReplayTV 4000 to prevent or greatly limit such			
26 27	activity.			
28				

0068/48424-006 LAWORD/4125

ļ			
1	42. Defendants have a direct financial interest in the infringements of Plaintiffs'		
2	copyrights by their customers. The infringing conduct that Defendants' seek to encourage is a		
3	major "draw" of ReplayTV 4000: indeed, the new infringing capabilities of ReplayTV 4000 are		
4	among Defendants' principal selling points. Thus, Defendants derive substantial revenue as a		
5	result of infringing activity in the form of increased sales of ReplayTV 4000. On information and		
6 7	belief, Defendants may also derive advertising revenues, revenues from the sale of customer data,		
8	or other revenues, by reason of infringing activity.		
9	43. Defendants' conduct constitutes vicarious infringement of Plaintiffs' copyrights		
10	and exclusive rights under copyright in violation of Sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act,		
11	17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501.		
12	44. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs will suffer irreparable injury.		
13			
14	Count IV Violation of Section 553 of the Communications Act		
15			
16	45. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1		
17	through 29 as if fully set forth herein.		
18	46. The Communications Act makes it unlawful for any person to intercept or receive		
19	or assist in intercepting or receiving any communications service offered over a cable system,		
20	unless specifically authorized to do so by a cable operator or as specifically authorized by law.		
21 22	47 U.S.C. § 553. The prohibited conduct includes the manufacture or distribution of equipment		
22	intended by the manufacturer or distributor for unauthorized reception of any communications		
24	service offered over a cable system.		
25	47. Defendants' conduct violates Section 553. Among other things, Defendants are		
26	selling equipment the ReplayTV 4000 device with a feature ("Send Show") that they intend		
27	to be used to enable persons without authorization to receive communication services offered		
28	to be used to chable persons without authorization to receive communication services energy		
	0068/48424-006 LAWORD/4125 15		

1	over a cable system, including but not limited to cable-delivered programming of over-the-air			
2	television stations, basic nonbroadcast services, premium services, and pay-per-view services.			
3 4	Count V Violation of Section 605 of the Communications Act			
5	48. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1			
6	through 29 as if fully set forth herein.			
7 8	49. The Communications Act, with certain exceptions not relevant here, forbids any			
° 9	person receiving, assisting in receiving, transmitting, or assisting in transmitting, any interstate			
10	communication by wire or radio from divulging or publishing the contents thereof except through			
11	authorized channels. 47 U.S.C. § 605. The Act also forbids any unauthorized person from			
12	receiving or assisting in receiving any interstate communication by radio and using such			
13	communication (or any information therein contained) for his own benefit or for the benefit of			
14	another unauthorized person. Id. By selling (and facilitating the use of) a device which (a) assists			
15 16	in the receipt of interstate communications by wire or radio and the use of such communications			
17	for the benefit of unauthorized persons and/or (b) is designed and intended to be used to divulge			
18	or publish the contents of such communications through the "Send Show" feature, Defendants are			
19	violating Section 605.			
20	Count VI Unfair Business Practices			
21	Unian Dusiness Fractices			
22	50. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1			
23	through 29 as if fully set forth herein.			
24 25	51. California Business & Professions Code § 17200 provides for injunctive and other			
2 <i>5</i> 26	relief against "any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice." Defendants are			
27	engaged in, or propose to engage in, several such practices.			
28				
	0068/48424-006 LAWORD/4125			

- 52. As set forth above, Defendants are engaged in, or propose to engage in, conduct unlawful under Sections 553 and 605 of the Federal Communications Act.
- 3 A significant portion of Plaintiffs' licensing revenue comes from license fees 53. 4 generated by broadcasts of the motion pictures on television, including on "network" television, 5 "cable" television, "independent" stations that acquire syndicated programming content, premium 6 movie "subscription" cable and satellite services, and "pay-per-view" services. Many of those 7 stations, networks and services (including the Fox Network operated by Plaintiff FBC) depend 8 upon revenues from a wide variety of commercial advertising arrangements, including payments 9 10 from advertisers for the inclusion of commercials during designated breaks within and between 11 programs, and so-called barter arrangements, to cover the costs of licensing Plaintiffs' 12 programming, and producing their own programming. By enabling the instant and complete 13 eradication of an essential revenue-producing aspect of Plaintiffs' business, Defendants are 14 engaged in, or propose to engage in, one or more unfair business acts or practices causing 15 particular harm to the market for the licensing or other exploitation of Plaintiffs' content. 16 17 Plaintiffs have created, developed, invested in, marketed, and branded with a 54. 18 unique and recognizable identity, various television channels and other services. The public has 19 come to recognize these channels and services as inherently distinctive and unique. By recording 20 and organizing recordings of programs from disparate channels and services into coherent 21 collections, including for delivery to others though the "Send Show" function, and by packaging 22 and branding those recordings and collections in such a manner as to cause confusion as to the 23 source or sponsorship of those recordings and collections and to materially contribute to 24 25 unlicensed channels, and by other conduct alleged above, Defendants are engaged in, or propose 26
- to engage in, one or more unfair business acts or practices causing particular harm to the market
 for the licensing of Plaintiffs' content.
- 28

55. Each of the aforementioned business acts and/or practices is oppressive and/or substantially injurious to Plaintiffs and/or the general public. With respect to each of the aforementioned business acts and/or practices, the gravity of the harm to Plaintiffs and the general public outweighs the utility, if any, of Defendants' conduct.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that this Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants as follows:

(a) adjudge and declare that Defendants' activities constitute direct, contributory and
 vicarious copyright infringement, violate Sections 553 and 605 of the Communications Act, and
 constitute an unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice under Section 17200 of the
 California Business & Professions Code;

(b) preliminarily and permanently enjoin, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502, Defendants,
their officers, agents, servants, employees and those persons in active concert or participation
with them, from directly, contributorily and/or vicariously infringing by any means Plaintiffs'
exclusive rights under the Copyright Act, including without limitation any of Plaintiffs' rights in
any of the works listed on Exhibit A, and from licensing any other person to do the same;

(c) preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants, their officers, agents, servants,
 employees and those persons in active concert or participation with them, from violating Sections
 553 and 605 of the Communications Act, including but not limited to, by engaging in any activity
 that enables persons to transmit copies of cable television programming to other persons, or
 enables persons without authorization to receive such programming;

(d) preliminarily and permanently enjoin, pursuant to Cal. Bus.& Prof. Code § 17200,
 Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees and those persons in active concert or
 participation with them, from engaging in one or more unfair and/or unlawful business acts or

1	practices, including but not limited to any activity that encourages viewers to block access to			
2	commercial content transmitted during television programming owned by Plaintiffs or offered on			
3	a television network owned and/or operated by Plaintiffs, or that encourages or permits customers			
4	to transmit copies of such programming to other persons;			
5	(e) award Plaintiffs costs and reasonable attorneys' fees in accordance with 17 U.S.C.			
6	§ 505, 47 U.S.C. §§ 553 and 605, and other applicable law; and			
7				
8	(f) award Plaintiffs such further and additional relief as the Court may deem just and			
9	proper.			
10				
11	Dated: November 14, 2001 SCOTT P. COOPER JON A. BAUMGARTEN			
12	FRANK P. SCIBILIA			
13	PROSKAUER ROSE LLP			
14	By: SCOTT P. COOPER			
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs METRO-GOLDWYN-MAYER			
16	STUDIOS INC., a Delaware corporation; ORION PICTURES CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation;			
17	TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION, a			
18	Delaware corporation; UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS PRODUCTIONS, INC., a Delaware corporation; and FOX			
19 20	BROADCASTING COMPANY, a Delaware corporation			
20				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	0068/48424-006 LAWORD/4125			

		EXHIBIT A	
1 2		Illustrative Copyright Registrations	
2	Rights Holder	Title	Copyright No.
4	Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc.	In the Heat of the Night (Quick Fix)	PA 540-867
5 6	Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc.	In the Heat of the Night (Heart of Gold)	PA 526-692
7	Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc.	Thelma and Louise	PA 538-151
8 9	Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc.	Diggstown	PA 584-868
10	Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc.	Of Mice And Men	PA 627-324
11 12	Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc.	Stargate SG-1 (Nemesis)	PA 984-835
13	Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc.	Stargate SG-1 (New Ground)	PA 984-836
14 15	Orion Pictures Corporation	Mississippi Burning	PA 409-351
16	Orion Pictures Corporation	Back to School	PA 298-065
17 18	Orion Pictures Corporation	The Believers	PA 338-035
19	Orion Pictures Corporation	Bull Durham	PA 392-721
20 21	Orion Pictures Corporation	Crimes and Misdemeanors	PA 447-419
22	Orion Pictures Corporation	Hannah and Her Sisters	PA 288-772
23	Corporation		
24			
25 26			
20 27			
28			,
	0068/48424-006 LAWORD/4125	20	

ľ

1	<u>Rights Holder</u>	Title	Copyright No.
2	Orion Pictures Corporation	Married to the Mob	PA 388-993
3	Orion Pictures Corporation	Mermaids	PA 495-687
5	Orion Pictures Corporation	The Silence of the Lambs	PA 512-637
6 7	Orion Pictures Corporation	Ulee's Gold	PA 857-210
8	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Ally McBeal (The Obstacle Course)	PA 1-021-810
9 10	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Ally McBeal (Queen Bee)	PA 1-022-030
11	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Ally McBeal (Friends And Lovers)	Application Pending
12 13	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Ally McBeal (Sideshow)	PA 929-880
14	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Ally McBeal (You Never Can Tell)	PA 904-404
15 16	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Boston Public (Chapter Seventeen)	PA 1-021-805
17	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Boston Public (Chapter Twenty)	PA 1-022-043
18 19	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Buffy The Vampire Slayer (Forever)	PA 1-022-018
20	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Buffy The Vampire Slayer (The Gift)	PA 1-039-849
21 22	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Buffy The Vampire Slayer (Life Serial)	Application Pending
23	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Buffy The Vampire Slayer (Enemies)	PA 929-654
24		`	
25 26			
20 27			
28			,
	0068/48424-006 LAWORD/4125	21	

1	Rights Holder	Title	Copyright No.
2	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Buffy The Vampire Slayer (Superstar)	PA 982-849
3	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	The Practice (Home Of The Brave)	PA 1-021-988
4 5	Twentieth Century Fox	The Practice (Poor Richard's Almanac)	PA 1-036-655
6	Film Corporation Twentieth Century Fox	The Practice	Application Pending
7 8	Film Corporation Twentieth Century Fox	(Vanished) The Practice	PA 918-687
9	Film Corporation Twentieth Century Fox	(Judge And Jury) The Practice	PA 853-922
10 11	Film Corporation Twentieth Century Fox	(The Blessing) The Simpsons	PA 1-021-927
12	Film Corporation Twentieth Century Fox	(Trilogy Of Error) The Simpsons	PA 1-021-994
13	Film Corporation	(I'm Goin' To Praiseland) The X-Files	PA 1-022-024
14 15	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	(Empedocles) The X-Files	PA 1-036-776
16	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	(Essence)	PA 959-748
17 18	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	The Beach	
19	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Broadcast News	PA 356-955
20 21	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	Wall Street	PA 349-001
21	Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation	X-Men	PA 933-920
23	Universal City Studios Productions, Inc.	American Pie	PA 948-125
24 25			
26			
27 28			,
	0068/48424-006 LAWORD/4125		
	11	22	

1	Rights Holder	Title	Copyright No.
2	Universal City Studios Productions, Inc.	Dante's Peak	PA 784-073
3 4	Universal City Studios Productions, Inc.	Ed TV	PA 932-641
5	Universal City Studios Productions, Inc.	Half Baked	PA 870-529
6 7	Universal City Studios Productions, Inc.	Liar Liar	PA 790-657
8	Universal City Studios Productions, Inc.	October Sky	PA 927-235
9 10	Universal City Studios Productions, Inc.	The Mummy	PA 933-218
11	Universal City Studios Productions, Inc.	The Mummy Returns	PA 1-033-456
12 13	Universal City Studios Productions, Inc.	U-571	PA 981-484
14	Fox Broadcasting Company	After Diff'rent Strokes: When The Laughter Stopped	PA 988-593
15 16	Fox Broadcasting Company	Getting Away With Murder: The Jonbenet Ramsey Story	PA 975-966
17	Fox Broadcasting Company	Police Videos (Episode 16)	PA 1-043-133
18 19	Fox Broadcasting Company	Police Videos (Episode 17)	PA 1-052-696
20	Fox Broadcasting Company	Powers Of The Paranormal Live On Stage	PA 1-032-116
21 22	Fox Broadcasting Company	Unauthorized Brady Bunch: The Final Days	PA 988-589
23	Fox Broadcasting	Temptation Island	PA 1-007-617
24	Company	(Episode 101)	
25			
26			
27			
28			,

1	Rights Holder	Title	<u>Copyright No.</u>
2	Fox Broadcasting Company	Temptation Island (Episode 102)	PA 1-007-618
3 4	Fox Broadcasting Company	Temptation Island 2 (Episode 201)	Application Pending
5	Fox Broadcasting Company	Love Cruise: The Maiden Voyage (Episode 101)	Application Pending
6 7	Fox Broadcasting Company	Love Cruise: The Maiden Voyage (Episode 102)	Application Pending
8	Fox Broadcasting Company	Love Cruise: The Maiden Voyage (Episode 103)	Application Pending
9 10	Fox Broadcasting Company	Love Cruise: The Maiden Voyage (Episode 104)	Application Pending
11	Fox Broadcasting Company	Love Cruise: The Maiden Voyage (Episode 105)	Application Pending
12 13	Fox Broadcasting Company	Love Cruise: The Maiden Voyage (Episode 106)	Application Pending
14	Fox Broadcasting Company	Love Cruise: The Maiden Voyage (Episode 107)	Application Pending
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
19 20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			,
	0068/48424-006 LAWORD/4125	24	

i