1	JOSEPH M. BURTON (SB No. 142105) STEPHEN H. SUTRO (SB No. 172168)			
2	STEPHEN H. SUTRO (SB No. 172168) GREGORY G. ISKANDER (SB No. 200215) DUANE MORRIS LLP			
3				
4				
5				
6	Attorneys for Defendant ELCOMSOFT COMPANY, LTD.			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9 10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10 11	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
11				
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Case No.: CR 01-20138 RMW		
13	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT'S PRETRIAL		
15	V.	CONFERENCE STATEMENT		
16	ELCOM LTD., a/k/a ELCOMSOFT CO., LTD.,	Date: October 21, 2002		
17	Defendant.	Time: 9:00 a.m. The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte		
18				
19				
20	Pursuant to 17.1-1(b), Defendant EI	COMSOFT CO., LTD. respectfully submits this Pretrial		
21	Conference Statement.			
22	I. <u>TRIAL DATE</u>			
23	Trial is scheduled to commence on Monday, October 21, 2002 at 9:00 a.m. in the above-			
24	referenced Court, located at 280 S. First Street, 4th Floor, San Jose, California.			
25	II. <u>DISCOVERY</u>			
26	There are no discovery issues at this time.			
27	III. <u>MOTIONS</u>			
28	All pretrial motions have been filed,	heard and determined.		
	DEFENDANT'S PRETRIAL CONFERENCE STATEMENT; No.: CR 01-20138 RMW			

1	IV. <u>PRETRIAL CONFERENCE ISSUES</u>		
2	The Pretrial Conference is scheduled for Thursday, October 17, 2002 at 2:00 p.m.		
3	A. Use of Statements and Reports		
4	Defendant has been appropriately assured by the government that defendant previously		
5	received all <u>Jencks</u> material.		
6	B. Disclosure and Use of Grand Jury Testimony		
7	Defendant does not anticipate that there will be any use of grand jury testimony at the trial.		
8	C. Disclosure of Exculpatory Or Favorable Evidence		
9	Defendant has been appropriately assured by the government that defendant previously		
10	received any exculpatory or other material favorable to the defendant.		
11	D. Stipulation of Facts		
12	The parties are amenable to appropriate stipulations, but at this point have not determined that		
13	any are necessary.		
14	E. Appointment of Court Interpreters		
15	There is a continuing need for a certified English to Russian, Russian to English interpreter.		
16	F. Dismissal of Counts and Elimination of Issues		
17	This issue is not applicable to this trial.		
18	G. Joinder or Severance		
19	This issue is not applicable to this trial.		
20	H. Identification of Informers and Use of other Character Evidence		
21	The government has assured the defendant that there were no informers used in this case.		
22	Further, the defendant understands that the government will not make use of prior act evidence.		
23	I. Pretrial Exchange of Lists of Witnesses		
24	The parties have met to discuss the witnesses who will appear in their respective cases in		
25	chief. The defendant does not anticipate any issues arising in regard to witnesses.		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
	DEFENDANT'S PRETRIAL CONFERENCE STATEMENT; No.: CR 01-20138 RMW		

I

1	J. Pretrial Exchange of Documents and Exhibits		
2	The parties are scheduled to meet to view the documents that each will use in their respective		
3	cases in chief. There has not been, at this time, an actual exchange of such documents and/or		
4	potential exhibits. The parties expect to do this immediately after the pretrial conference. The		
5	defendant does not anticipate any significant issues arising with regard to the proposed exhibits.		
6	K. Pretrial Resolution to Objections to Exhibits or Testimony		
7	Defendant anticipates filing a small number of <i>in limine</i> motions after it has reviewed the		
8	government's anticipated trial evidence. Defendant believes that these <i>in limine</i> motions can be		
9	determined during the course of the trial.		
10	L. Preparation of Trial Briefs		
11	1 Defendant, at this time, does not anticipate the need for trial briefs.		
12	V. <u>WITNESSES</u>		
13	Each party has filed a witness list with the Court.		
14	VI. <u>EXHIBITS</u>		
15	Each party has filed an exhibit list with the Court.		
16	VII. <u>JURY INSTRUCTIONS</u>		
17	7 The parties have each filed separate sets of jury instructions for use at trial. In addition, the		
18	8 parties will submit objections to the Court.		
19	VIII. <u>VOIR DIRE</u>		
20	In addition to the Court's Juror Questionnaire and Voir Dire, the defendant seeks limited		
21	follow-up voir dire on the following topics:		
22	(1) Jurors general feeling and opinions about Russia, Russian citizens and Russian		
23	companies doing business in the United States;		
24	(2) Jurors familiarity, feelings and opinions about the copyright system and		
25	current copyright issues and controversies;		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
	DEFENDANT'S PRETRIAL CONFERENCE STATEMENT; No.: CR 01-20138 RMW		

I

1	(3) Jurors familiarity with Adobe, Inc. and its products, specifically Adobe			
2				
3				
4				
5	5 Dated: October, 2002. DUANE	E MORRIS LLP		
6				
7	7			
8		H M. BURTON		
9	9 GREG	IEN H. SUTRO ORY G. ISKANDER		
10	10 ATTO ELCO	RNEYS FOR DEFENDANT MSOFT COMPANY LTD.		
11	11			
12				
13	13 SF-34249			
14	14			
15	15			
16	16			
17	17			
18	18			
19	19			
20	20			
21	21			
22	22			
23	23			
24	24			
25	25			
26	26			
27				
28	28			
	DEFENDANT'S PRETRIAL CONFERENCE STATEMENT; No.: CR 01-201	38 RMW		

1	United States of America v. Elcom Ltd., a/k/a Elcomsoft Co., Ltd. Case No.: CR 01-20138 RMW			
2	PROOF OF SERVICE			
3 4	I am a resident of the state of California, I am over the age of 18 years, and I am not a party to			
5	document(s):			
6	DEFENDANT'S PRETRIAL CONFERENCE STATEMENT			
7 8	□ by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date during normal business hours. Our facsimile machine reported the "send" as successful.			
9 10	□ by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Francisco, California, addressed as set forth below.			
11 12	I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. According to that practice, items are deposited with the United States mail on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am aware that, on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing stated in the affidavit.			
 13 14 15 16 	Scott H. Frewing Assistant United States Attorney United States District Court Northern District of California 280 South First Street San Jose, CA 95113			
17 18 19	□ by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, deposited with Federal Express Corporation on the same date set out below in the ordinary course of business; to the person at the address set forth below, I caused to be served a true copy of the attached document(s).			
20	by causing personal delivery of the document(s) listed above to the person at the address set forth below.			
21 22	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person at the address set forth below.			
23	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.			
24 25	Dated: October, 2002 Lea A. Chase			
26	SF-34249			
27				
28				
	DEFENDANT'S PRETRIAL CONFERENCE STATEMENT; No.: CR 01-20138 RMW			

I